

				P	revious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
			Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
Adu	PVP02	Number of permanent admissions to residential & nursing care homes for older people (65+)	Monthly	248	246	252	90	60	63	39	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
Adult Social Care	PVP18	Number of customers in long-term residential and nursing care at the period end - (Snapshot)	Monthly	623	575	621	617	638	638	621	-	Neutral	▲ ► Neutral
e F	PVP19	Number of permanent admissions to residential & nursing care homes for younger people (18-64)	Monthly	16	22	21	9	3	7	2	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
		Proportion of adults with a learning disability in paid employment	Monthly	8.33%	8.30%	-	8.73%	8.60%	8.42%	8.36%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	5.70%	6.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	6.68%	7.40%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
AS	SCOF1E	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	40	46	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Proportion of adults with a learning disability who live in their own home or with family	Monthly	82.26%	82.00%	-	76.82%	76.36%	76.15%	74.93%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	76.21%	77.20%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	79.40%	80.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
AS	COF1G	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	50	54	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	7	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Polarity

Up is

Good

Up is

Good

-

-

DOT

Green

Neutral



Health & Adult Social Care (DRAFT) 2018/2019

Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)

Annual

2

11

-

No of Indicators = 67 | Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time. Produced by the Business Intelligence Hub July 2019

Previous Years 2018/2019 Collection 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Target Frequency Proportion of adults in contact with secondary 84.00% mental health services living independently, with or 69.00% 83.00% 84.00% 84.00% 84.00% Monthly 39.21% _ (Prov) without support Benchmark - National Data Annual 57.00% ----Benchmark - Regional Data Annual 69.00% ------_ ASCOF1H National Rank (Rank out of 152) Annual -59 ------Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) Annual 9 ----_ -Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16) Annual 9 --_ ---Proportion of people who use services who reported that they had as much social contact as 49.50% 44.50% Annual ----they would like Benchmark - National Data 45.40% Annual 46.00% ----Benchmark - Regional Data 45.60% 47.50% Annual ------ASCOF1I1 National Rank (Rank out of 152) Annual 28 94 ------Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) 6 12 Annual ----_

-

-

-



				Pr	evious Yea	rs		2018/	2019				
			Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		Long-term support needs met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population (younger adults) (New definition from 2015/16) - (YTD Cumulative)	Monthly	11.18	15.7	-	6.71	8.95	14.16	15.65	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	12.81	14	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	ASCOF2A	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	13.76	14.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Ad		National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	68	102	-	-	-	-	-	-		
lult Soci		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	6	9	-	-	-	-	-			
al Care		Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	8	11	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework		Long-term support needs met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population (older people) (New definition from 2015/16) - (YTD Cumulative)	Monthly	647.8	649.4	-	239.93	399.88	567.83	671.8	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
amewo		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	610.7	585.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
굿	ASCOF2A	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	658.4	632.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	87	95	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	7	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	9	8	-	-	-	-	-	-		



			P	revious Yea	irs		2018	/2019				
		Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	Delayed transfers of care from hospital, per 100,000 population (New definition from 2017/18) - (YTD Average)	Monthly	16.85	13.5	17.5 (Prov)	19.2	17.7	17.7	17.5	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	14.9	12.3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ASCOF2C	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	11.1	10.9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
1	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	111	109	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	14	12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	10	13	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Delayed transfers of care from hospital which are attributable to adult social care, per 100,000 population (New definition from 2017/18) - (YTD Average)	Monthly	7.49	6.4	6.6 (Prov)	7.9	7.4	7.2	6.6	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	6.3	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ASCOF2C	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	4.8	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-		
_	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	111	130	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	13	14	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	12	14	-	-	-	-	-	-		



			P	revious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
		Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support	Annual	62.40%	62.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	64.70%	65.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	64.60%	65.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ASCOF3A	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	98	91	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	11	10	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	13	11	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Proportion of people who use services who feel safe	Annual	71.00%	70.30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	70.10%	69.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	69.10%	69.60%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ASCOF4A	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	63	80	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	8	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	8	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Alcohol-specific mortality: Males, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	11.59	16.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	14.27	14.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LAPE03	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	15.69	16.7	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	-		



				Pi	revious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
			Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		Alcohol-specific mortality: Females, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	5.62	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
	LAPE04	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	6.84	7	-	-	-	-	-			
Þ	LAPE04	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	7.51	8.2	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Alcohol		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Admitted to hospital episodes with alcohol-related conditions (Narrow): Persons, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	691	724	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Red
	LAPE17	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	636.4	632	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	700.56	697	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	8	10	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LAPE22	% of alcohol users in treatment who successfully completed drug treatment (without representation within 6 months)	Quarterly	38.19%	33.50%	31.07%	30.10%	29.65%	33.07%	31.07%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	38.29%	38.60%	37.85%	38.92%	38.96%	38.53%	37.85%	-		
		Gap in employment rate for mental health clients and the overall employment rate	Annual	68.50%	63.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
Emplo		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	67.40%	68.20%	-	-	-	-	-			
Employment	PHOF40	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	63.80%	64.50%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	F	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	14	6	-	-	-	-	-	-		



				P	revious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
			Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		Life Expectancy at birth - Female	Annual	83.5	83.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	83.1	83.1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF16	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	82.4	82.4	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Slope index of inequality in life expectancy at birth - Female - (Three year period)	Annual	4.2	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
Life Ex	PHOF17	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Life Expectancy		Life Expectancy at birth - Male	Annual	80.4	80.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
ÿ		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	79.5	79.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF36	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	78.7	78.7	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Slope index of inequality in life expectancy at birth - Male - (Three year period)	Annual	7.7	8.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	A Red
	PHOF37	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		IAPT Referrals (18+), per 100,000 population - (VoY CCG)	Quarterly	538	454	482	412	455	435	482	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
	CMHD02	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	869	871	1,010	841	868	929	1,010	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	872	890	990	848	852	897	990	-		



No of Indicators = 67 | Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time. Produced by the Business Intelligence Hub July 2019

2018/2019 **Previous Years** Collection 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Target Polarity DOT Frequency % of people who have completed IAPT treatment Up is Quarterly 67.80% 65.10% 70.10% 74.60% 71.70% 63.00% 70.10% who achieved "reliable improvement" - (VoY CCG) Good Neutral CMHD03 Benchmark - National Data Quarterly 66.30% 71.60% 72.50% 72.10% 71.10% 71.60% 72.50% -Benchmark - Regional Data Quarterly 68.20% 71.50% 73.70% 71.60% 70.00% 71.50% 73.70% -People entering IAPT (in month) as % of those estimated to have anxiety/depression (VoY CCG) -Monthly 13.40% 14.40% 7.50% 15.50% 14.40% 14.80% 16.70% Neutral -Neutral (Snapshot) CMHD05 Benchmark - National Data Monthly 17.20% 17.20% 19.10% 17.00% 16.70% 14.90% 19.10% -Mental Health Benchmark - Regional Data Monthly 16.30% 15.70% 18.40% 16.10% 17.40% 14.20% 18.40% _ Estimated dementia diagnosis rate (%) for people Up is aged 65+ as recorded on practice disease N/A 60.40% 62.20% Annual _ -. Good Neutral registers Benchmark - National Data Annual N/A 67.90% 67.50% ----PHE11 Benchmark - Regional Data Annual N/A 71.30% 71.20% -Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) N/A 15 15 Annual _ --Up is Suicide rate (per 100,000 population) Annual 12.7 13.4 -Bad Neutral Benchmark - National Data 9.9 9.6 Annual --PHOF32 Benchmark - Regional Data Annual 10.4 10.4 -_ Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) 13 13 Annual -_ -_ _ Up is Total population aged 65 and over predicted to **POPPI01** Annual 2.788 2.788 2.779 have dementia Bad Neutral



No of Indicators = 67 | Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time. Produced by the Business Intelligence Hub July 2019

Previous Years 2018/2019 Collection 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Target Polarity DOT Frequency Child mortality rate (1-17 years), per 100,000 Up is Annual 12.3 12.5 _ _ --. population Bad Neutral Benchmark - National Data 11.6 11.2 Annual _ -CHP02 Benchmark - Regional Data Annual 13.2 12.4 ---Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) 8 8 Annual --_ Up is Excess Winter Deaths Index (all ages single year) 31 31 Annual _ -_ -Bad Neutral Benchmark - National Data 21.6 21.6 Annual --PHOF33 Benchmark - Regional Data Annual 24.9 24.9 --Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) 12 12 Annual _ _ -_ Up is Mortality rate from causes considered preventable 162.85 168.9 Annual --(per 100,000 population) Bad Neutral Benchmark - National Data 182.84 Annual 181.5 -_ PHOF46 Benchmark - Regional Data Annual 197.21 197.2 _ --_ -Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) 2 3 Annual -_ --Under 75 mortality rate from all cardiovascular Up is Annual 65 64.9 ----diseases (per 100,000 population) Bad Neutral Mortality Benchmark - National Data Annual 73.5 72.5 --PHOF49 Benchmark - Regional Data 83.3 82.6 Annual ----Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) 2 Annual 2 _ -----



			P	revious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
		Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	Under 75 mortality rate from cancer (per 100,000 population)	Annual	130.8	133.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	136.8	134.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PHOF55	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	146.2	143.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Under 75 mortality rate from liver disease (per 100,000 population)	Annual	16.5	16.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
DUOCOL	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	18.3	18.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PHOF61	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	18.1	19.1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Under 75 mortality rate from respiratory disease (per 100,000 population)	Annual	28.4	29.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
DUODOO	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	33.8	34.3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PHOF66	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	39	39.7	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	% of reception year children recorded as being obese (single year)	Annual	8.52%	9.28%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
NONES	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	9.61%	9.53%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
NCMP01	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	9.72%	9.94%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-		



No of Indicators = 67 | Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time. Produced by the Business Intelligence Hub July 2019

Previous Years 2018/2019 Collection 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 Q1 Q2 Q4 Target Polarity DOT Frequency % of children in Year 6 recorded as being obese Up is Annual 16.13% 17.41% _ -. -(single year) Bad Red Benchmark - National Data Annual 19.98% 20.14% --Obesity NCMP02 Benchmark - Regional Data 20.42% 20.63% Annual ---_ -Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) Annual 1 4 --% of adults (aged 18+) classified as overweight or Up is Annual 60.44% 54.40% ---obese (new definition) Bad Neutral Benchmark - National Data 61.29% 62.00% Annual ---PHOF44a Benchmark - Regional Data 65.27% Annual 64.10% ---. _ Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) Annual 2 1 ----% of adults (aged 19+) that meet CMO Up is recommendations for physical activity (150+ Annual 72.03% 76.40% --Good Green moderate intensity equivalent minutes per week) Benchmark - National Data Annual 66.00% 66.30% --PHOF01a Benchmark - Regional Data Annual 64.60% 64.00% _ --Physical Activity Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) Annual 1 1 ----..... % of adults (aged 19+) that are physically inactive Up is (<30 moderate intensity equivalent minutes per Annual 18.28% 13.80% _ -Bad Neutral week) Benchmark - National Data Annual 22.24% 22.20% ---PHOF02a Benchmark - Regional Data Annual 24.08% 24.10% ---Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) Annual 1 -----



				Pr	evious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
			Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
Preg nanc v and	YH13	% of mothers smoking at time of delivery - (Rolling 12 Month)	Quarterly	11.26%	NC	11.98%	10.90%	11.45%	12.25%	11.98%	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
Proje cts - Larn	CORP10L	Large Project - Adult Social Care Future Focus	Quarterly	-	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	-	Neutral	▲ ► Neutral
		Chlamydia diagnoses (15-24 year olds), per 100,000 population	Annual	1,864.3	1,985.3	1,712	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	1,916.9	1,881.9	1,975	-	-	-	-	-		
	EH1	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	2,132.3	2,244.3	2,096	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-		
		Proportion of population aged 15 to 24 screened for chlamydia	Annual	22.50%	26.40%	23.90%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
	EH2	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	20.70%	19.30%	19.60%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	19.50%	20.20%	20.00%	-	-	-	-	-		
		% of births that receive a face to face New Birth Visit (NBV) by a Health Visitor within 14 days	Quarterly	78.30%	85.61%	85.90%	87.00%	88.20%	88.70%	85.90%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
	HV01	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	88.30%	88.50%	-	88.32%	89.60%	88.80%	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	86.20%	84.00%	-	85.43%	86.20%	84.90%	-	-		
		% of face-to-face NBVs undertaken by a health visitor after 14 days	Quarterly	12.77%	9.91%	11.70%	11.70%	10.50%	9.60%	11.70%	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
	HV02	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	9.90%	9.70%	-	9.17%	8.70%	9.30%	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	11.60%	-	-	13.10%	12.30%	13.70%	-			

Annex 1



Health & Adult Social Care (DRAFT) 2018/2019

				P	revious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
			Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		% of infants who received a 6-8 week review by the time they were 8 weeks	Quarterly	77.09%	82.46%	89.20%	85.30%	86.40%	88.40%	89.20%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
	HV03	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	83.60%	84.30%	-	85.49%	85.40%	85.50%	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	87.10%	84.40%	-	86.08%	85.50%	86.40%	-	-		
		% of children who received a 12 month review by the time they turned 12 months	Quarterly	41.65%	72.21%	81.80%	78.40%	79.80%	83.80%	81.80%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
	HV05	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	75.90%	77.60%	-	77.03%	76.80%	75.50%	-	-		
Pub		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	82.70%	85.50%	-	84.38%	84.40%	86.50%	-	-		
ic Healt		% of children who received a 12 month review by the time they turned 15 months	Quarterly	76.92%	81.52%	84.70%	78.50%	80.50%	82.40%	84.70%	-	Up is Good	Green
th and V	HV06	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	82.70%	82.10%	-	81.88%	82.40%	82.20%	-	-		
Public Health and Wellbeing		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	86.70%	-	-	89.24%	90.20%	89.50%	-	-		
g.		% of children who received a 2-2½ year review	Quarterly	18.55%	62.64%	71.20%	60.80%	70.60%	69.80%	71.20%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
	HV07	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	77.40%	76.40%	-	76.45%	78.20%	77.90%	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	80.70%	78.60%	-	78.82%	81.00%	82.60%	-	-		
	HV10	% of infants totally or partially breastfed at 6-8 weeks (of those with a known feeding status)	Quarterly	59.40%	54.73%	59.40%	56.60%	57.00%	58.70%	59.40%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	50.00%	49.80%	-	52.09%	53.43%	54.00%	-	-		
		% of eligible population aged 40-74 who received an NHS Health Check	Quarterly	0.20%	0.50%	2.30%	0.10%	0.60%	0.70%	0.90%	-	Up is Good	Green
	PHOF31	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	8.50%	8.30%	8.00%	1.90%	1.90%	1.90%	2.30%	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	7.40%	7.20%	6.90%	1.60%	1.70%	1.60%	2.00%	-		

Annex 1

Polarity

Up is

Bad

Up is

Good

Up is

Good

Up is

Good

Up is

Bad

Up is

Bad

-

-

-

_

-

DOT

Neutral

Neutral

Neutral

Neutral

▼

Green

Neutral



Health & Adult Social Care (DRAFT) 2018/2019

Annual

Quarterly

Quarterly

Quarterly

Under 18 conceptions (per 1,000 females aged 15-

17) (Rolling 12 Months)

Benchmark - Regional Data

PHOF06a Benchmark - National Data

401.2

16.8

18.5

21.3

404.4

13

17.3

20.4

-

-

_

-

Page 14 of 16

-

-

-

-

No of Indicators = 67 | Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time. Produced by the Business Intelligence Hub July 2019

2018/2019 **Previous Years** Collection 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 Q2 Q4 Target Frequency HIV late diagnosis Annual 45.00% 52.60% _ _ -. Benchmark - National Data 40.10% 41.10% Annual --PHOF79 Benchmark - Regional Data Annual 45.78% 47.80% _ -Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) 10 12 Annual ----_ % of eligible population aged 40-74 offered an 9.20% Quarterly 0.80% 0.50% 25.30% 3.60% 6.30% 6.20% -NHS Health Check 16.90% 17.60% 4.30% 4.40% 4.10% 4.80% PHOF91 Benchmark - National Data Quarterly 17.20% -Benchmark - Regional Data Quarterly 14.50% 13.70% 17.70% 4.20% 3.80% 4.20% 5.50% _ % of eligible population aged 40-74 offered an NHS Health Check who received an NHS Health 21.40% 43.10% 1.60% 15.70% 11.70% 14.10% Quarterly 100.00% _ Check PHOF92 Benchmark - National Data Quarterly 49.90% 47.90% 181.80% 42.80% 43.40% 46.50% 49.10% -Benchmark - Regional Data 51.20% 38.90% 43.60% 37.50% 36.70% Quarterly 52.50% 156.70% -Kesiden % of panel confident they could find information on TAP09 Quarterly NC 65.46% 64.81% 72.52% 66.11% 72.52% NC support available to help people live independently Hospital admissions as a result of self harm (10-24 631 539.9 Annual _ -_ _ years), per 100,000 population CHP32 Benchmark - National Data Annual 404.6 421.2 ---_ -Benchmark - Regional Data

Safeguarding (Young

Cornor



				Pi	revious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
			Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
People)		Under 18 conceptions: conceptions in those aged under 16 (per 1,000 females aged 13-15) (Calendar Year)	Annual	5.1	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
	PHOF27	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	3	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	1110121	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	4	3.3	-	-	-	-	-			
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	12	5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		% of women who smoke at the time of delivery - (VoY CCG)	Quarterly	11.01%	10.40%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	10.50%	10.80%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF10	Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	14.19%	14.20%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Smoking prevalence in adults (18-64) - socio- economic gap in current smokers (APS) Ratio	Annual	3.43	5.31	1.89	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
	PHOF162	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	2.43	2.44	2.47	-	-	-	-	-		
Sm		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	2.57	2.49	2.32	-	-	-	-	-		
Smoking		% of population smoking (routine and manual workers) (APS)	Annual	26.40%	24.60%	18.60%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
	PHOF20	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	26.50%	25.70%	25.40%	-	-	-	-	-		
	1110120	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	28.90%	28.20%	27.40%	-	-	-	-			
	_	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	3	1	-	-	-	-	-		



				Pi	revious Yea	rs		2018	/2019				
			Collection Frequency	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		% of population smoking (APS)	Annual	12.60%	9.00%	11.50%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
	PHOF45	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	15.50%	14.87%	14.40%	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF45	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	17.70%	16.99%	16.70%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
		Adults (aged 16+) who have taken part in sport and physical activity at least twice in the last 28 days	Annual	84.80%	84.01%	-	-	84.00%	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
Sport	PHYS05	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	77.18%	77.45%	-	-	77.50%	-	-	-		
ā		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	74.96%	75.80%	-	-	75.80%	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	CSB17	Number of mothers recorded by Midwifery Services in regard to alcohol or substance misuse (by Estimated Delivery Date)	Quarterly	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ ► Neutral
Substance Misuse	PHOF76	% of opiate users in treatment who successfully completed drug treatment (without representation within 6 months)	Quarterly	9.39%	6.30%	4.70%	5.52%	5.29%	4.70%	4.70%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
nce M		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	6.63%	6.61%	6.00%	6.50%	6.28%	6.10%	6.00%	-		
isuse	PHOF77	% of non-opiate users in treatment who successfully completed drug treatment (without representation within 6 months)	Quarterly	38.08%	32.11%	29.20%	30.33%	26.61%	31.50%	29.20%	-	Up is Good	▲ ► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	37.13%	36.61%	35.20%	36.90%	36.37%	35.70%	35.20%	-		